St. Louis Law Review

Edited by the Undergraduates of Washington University School of Law, St. Louis. Published in December, February, April, and June at 115 East Fifth Street, Fulton, Missouri.

Subscription Price \$2.00 per Annum.

Seventy-five Cents per Copy.

A subscriber desiring to discontinue his subscription should send notice to that effect. Otherwise it will be continued.

STAFF

HARRY WILLMER JONES, Editor-in-Chief NORMAN PARKER, Associate Editor LON HOCKER, JR., Business Manager

JOHN E. CURBY HERMAN GORALNIK EVELYN HONIGBERG ROBERT MAYSACK

SIDNEY MURPHY CHRISTIAN B. PEPER PHILIP RASHBAUM HYMAN A. WALTUCH

RALPH F. FUCHS, Faculty Adviser. ISRAEL TREIMAN, Faculty Adviser

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

WALTER D. COLES FRANKLIN FERRISS

S. ELSON

CHARLES NAGEL

JAMES A. SEDDON THEODORE RASSIEUR

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

R. W. CHUBB, Chairman R. L. ARONSON F. P. ASCHMEYER G. A. BUDER, JR. R. S. BULL J. M. DOUGLAS

J. J. GRAVELY A. M. HOENNY

J. M. HOLMES H. W. KROEGER R. H. MCROBERTS

D. L. MILLAR

C. H. Luecking, Secretary M. OPPENHEIMER

R. R. NEUHOFF

R. B. SNOW K. P. SPENCER M. R. STAHL M. L. STEWART

Editorial Notes

CONTRIBUTOR TO THIS ISSUE

ISRAEL TREIMAN, A.B., Washington University, 1921; M.A., 1922; LL.B., 1924; Ph.D. in Jurisprudence, Oxford University, 1927, who contributes An Analysis of the Statistical Data on Receivership Suits Filed in the St. Louis Circuit Court 1925-1932 Inclusive, is Assistant Professor of Law at Washington University. He is the author of Escaping the

NOTES 133

Creditor in the Middle Ages, Law Quarterly Review, April, 1927, and The Law and the Insolvent Debtor (1927) 12 St. Louis L. Rev. 189.

THE SCHOOL OF LAW AND THE ST. LOUIS RECEIVERSHIP SURVEY

The report of the Receivership Survey Committee of the St. Louis Bar Association, made public February 20, 1934, has been welcomed by lawyers and by business men generally, as an earnest effort on the part of the Bar Association to clear the clouded factual atmosphere surrounding a branch of the law which has long been the subject of controversy in St. Louis—receivership practice in the St. Louis Circuit Courts. Consequently, it was considered appropriate that this issue of the St. Louis Law Review be devoted, primarily, to consideration of receivership law—theory and practice.

The School of Law of Washington University has been intimately associated with the Receivership Survey since its inception. Assistant Professor Israel Treiman planned and directed the activities of the fact-gatherers whose findings constituted the basis for the general report of the Receivership Survey Committee. Joseph H. Grand, Daniel Bartlett, and John Gilmore, graduates of the School of Law, were members of the Bar Association Committee. The work of collecting the facts was done mainly by C. S. Cullenbine and David Campbell, graduates of the School of Law, assisted by Herbert K. Moss, Lewis Sigler, Sylvia Carafiol, and Elizabeth Kausch, all students or graduates of the School of Law.

The School of Law has welcomed the opportunity of cooperating with the Bar Association in an investigation which should have a considerable practical effect as a basis for reforms in receivership practice in St. Louis and in the State of Missouri.

Notes

GROUNDS FOR RECEIVERSHIP IN MISSOURI

The appointment of a receiver is primarily a mode of action by the Equity court, rather than a benefit to be given to either of the litigants. The nature of a receiver is adequately defined by the United States Supreme Court in Booth v. Clark:

¹ (1854) 17 How. 322, l. c. 331; see Crawford v. Ross (1869) 39 Ga. 44; Beverley v. Brooks (1847) 4 Gratt. (Va.) 187.