

| | |
|---|-----|
| THE FORGOTTEN MAN ON THE WELFARE ROLL: A STUDY OF PUBLIC SUBSIDIES FOR STRIKERS: <i>James T. Carney</i> | 469 |
| FROM DURHAM TO BRAWNER, A FUTILE JOURNEY: <i>Bernard L. Diamond</i> | 109 |
| FUTURE INTERESTS AND THE MYTH OF THE SIMPLE WILL: AN APPROACH TO ESTATE PLANNING—PART TWO: <i>David M. Becker</i> | 1 |
| THE INSANITY DEFENSE IN OPERATION: A PRACTICING PSYCHIATRIST VIEWS DURHAM AND BRAWNER: <i>Daniel D. Pugh</i> | 87 |
| IN SEARCH OF A MODEL ACT FOR PRISONERS' RIGHTS: <i>Fred Cohen</i> | 621 |
| INTRODUCTION, SYMPOSIUM: A MODEL ACT FOR THE PROTECTION OF RIGHTS OF PRISONERS: <i>Sol Rubin</i> | 553 |
| A MARRIED WOMAN'S SURNAME: IS CUSTOM LAW?: <i>Julia C. Lamber</i> | 779 |
| ON BEING MEDIUM NICE TO PRISONERS: <i>James W.L. Park</i> | 607 |
| SCREENING AND SUMMARY PROCEDURES IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS: <i>Charles R. Haworth</i> | 257 |
| TO BE OR NOT TO BE AN EXPERT: <i>R.E. Schulman</i> | 57 |
| WRONGFUL DEATH: <i>Frederick Davis</i> | 327 |

NOTES—TITLE INDEX

| | |
|---|-----|
| DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO PROTECTION FROM PRIOR UNCOUNSELED CONVICTIONS | 197 |
| INTRODUCTION: THE INSANITY DEFENSE IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 19 |
| JUDICIAL INTERVENTION IN TRIALS | 843 |
| MARITIME JURISDICTION AND LONGSHOREMEN'S REMEDIES | 649 |
| POLICE COERCION OF WITNESSES | 865 |
| RECENT LEGISLATIVE CHANGES IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL STATUS OF THE UNITED STATES TAX COURT AND THE COURTS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 381 |
| THE RIGHT TO DEFEND PRO SE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS | 679 |
| A RIGHT TO TREATMENT FOR JUVENILES? | 157 |
| VENUE IN JUVENILE COURTS | 407 |

COMMENTS—TITLE INDEX

| | |
|--|-----|
| Application of Dram Shop Acts to Non-Commercial Suppliers of Liquor, <i>Ross v. Ross</i> , 294 Minn. 115, 200 N.W.2d 149 (1972) | 708 |
| Dismissal of Stockholders' Derivative Actions as Res Judicata—The Requirement of Notice of Proposed Dismissal, <i>Papilsky v. Berndt</i> , 466 F.2d 251 (2d Cir. 1972) | 219 |
| Due Process Requirements for Use of Non-Statutory Inferences in Criminal Cases, <i>Barnes v. United States</i> , 412 U.S. 837 (1973) | 897 |
| Equal Protection and State Immunity From Tort Liability, <i>Krause v. State</i> , 31 Ohio St. 2d 132, 285 N.E.2d 736 (1972) | 716 |